

Energy demand of office buildings

Calculated and measured energy performance

IEA Annex 61 – Technical Day 21th Sept. 2015, Hotel Harkamp, St. Nikolai i.S. DI Gerhard Bayer, ÖGUT



- Analyses of 20 office buildings in Vienna region (285.000 m² GFA)
- wide range at year of construction, size and compactness
- Energy demand devided by:
 - Space heating, hot water, cooling, lighting, ventilation and operating current
- Data appraisal anonymious













End energy demand by utilisation in kWh/m².a









Comparsion calculated and actual energy demand





Comparsion calculated and actual energy demand









Energy demand for ventilation in office buildings













Comparsion calculated and actual energy demand





Space heating:

- Office buildings need double amount of energy than calculated in energy performance certificates
- Space heating requires 50% of the total energy demand (87 kWh/m².a space heat.)
- <u>Ventilation: high saving potentials</u> (due flexible volume flow according actual need)
- <u>Cooling:</u> saving potential by using ground/surface water and soil actual mainly systems with saisonal performance factor 3, alternatives: 30
- User behaviour: high saving potential
 - Quotation of a technician: "We are using the latest efficiency technologies, but the user are not prepaired for it and often they are overstrained"

Conclusions



Reasons of deviation

- Energy performance certification not accurate
- Space heating: actual temperature 22-23°C instead of 20°C (15-30 %)
- User behaviour, behaviour of external services (cleaning, security, ..)
- Suboptimal HVACR adjustments
- Communication problems: between user, technical inhouse department, outsourced technical services, further service companies,
- **Cost/benefit:** costs occur in one department, savings in other department



Conclusions

High saving potentials by

- Improving communication between all stakeholder (e. g. external service companies)
- Improving the decision making process regarding energy saving measured ("lost in the jungle")
- Profiting by energy cost savings

 Information and awareness building of user











Examples user behaviour







Kindergarden, complete refurbishment, sundblind fixing defect, non stop lighting, **30 percent more energy**

Examples user behaviour







Kindergarden, complete refurbishment, Dec. 2014 heating regulation by windows. Non insolated heating pipes. 20 % more heating energy.

Examples responsibilities, decision making process





Train station Sept. 2014



Train station July 2015

Train station in Lower Austria, lighting of parking place non stop. 12 tubes a 40 Watt a 3,000 h/a = 1,440 kWh/a **35 percent more energy demand**

different departments responsible for decision at ÖBB

Sankey-building O **"kWh"** (Highlight)





Sankey-building O "money" (Highlight)





Die Kosten wurde auf Basis folgender Preisannahmen errechnet: • Strom 140 €/MWh

Sankey-Gebäude I "kWh" (Bürogebäude Lowlight)



Sankey-Gebäude I "Geld" (Bürogebäude Lowlight)





Sankey-building O "money" (office building highlight) Cost calculation based on following assamptions: electricity costs 140 €/MWh

Sankey-Gebäude I "money" (office building lowlight) Cost calculation based on following assamptions: electricity costs 140 €/MWh District heating 85,15 €/MWh (data year 2013) plus 1x annual € 71.137.- (charge for power demand)

Reasons for heat energy exceeding – your estimations?

ÖGUT

